arXiv:hep-th/9910246v3 27 Nov 2000

ROM2F-99/41
hep-th/9910246
February 1, 2008

Abelian Vectors and Self-Dual Tensors in Six-Dimensional Supergravity

Fabio Riccioni

Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma "Tor Vergata"
I.N.F.N. - Sezione di Roma "Tor Vergata",
Via della Ricerca Scientifica , 1 00133 Roma ITALY

Abstract

In this note we describe the most general coupling of *abelian* vector and tensor multiplets to six-dimensional $(1,0)$ supergravity. As was recently pointed out, it is of interest to consider more general Chern-Simons couplings to abelian vectors of the type $H^r = dB^r - 1/2\ c^{rab} A^a dA^b$, with c^r matrices that may not be simultaneously diagonalized. We show that these couplings can be related to Green-Schwarz terms of the form $B^r c_r^{ab} F^a F^b$, and how the complete local Lagrangian, that embodies factorized gauge and supersymmetry anomalies (to be disposed of by fermion loops) is uniquely determined by Wess-Zumino consistency conditions, aside from an arbitrary quartic coupling for the gauginos.

Revised version: November 2000

Vector multiplets coupled to variable numbers of tensor multiplets in six-dimensional $(1,0)$ supergravity arise naturally in perturbative type-I vacua [1], that are related by string dualities to non-perturbative heterotic and M-theory vacua. The low-energy field equations of these $(1,0)$ models have revealed the explicit realization of a peculiar aspect of the physics of branes: singularities in the gauge couplings appear for particular values of the scalars in the tensor multiplets [2], and can be ascribed to phase transitions [3] in which a string becomes tensionless [4].

In these models, that arise as parameter-space orbifolds (orientifolds) [5] of $K3$ reductions of the type-IIB string, several antisymmetric tensors take part in a generalized Green-Schwarz mechanism [6, 2], and the resulting residual anomaly polynomial has the form

$$c_x^r \; c_y^s \; \eta_{rs} \; \mathrm{tr}_x F^2 \; \mathrm{tr}_y F^2 \quad ,$$

where the F's denote collectively the Riemann curvature and the Yang-Mills field strengths, the c's are a collection of constants (x and y run over the various semi-simple Lie factors in the gauge group and over the Lorentz group) and η is the Minkowski metric for $SO(1,n)$, with n the number of tensor multiplets. Taking into account only the gauge part of the anomaly polynomial, the corresponding Green-Schwarz term,

$$B^r \; c_r^x \; \mathrm{tr}_x F^2 \quad ,$$

contains two derivatives, and thus belongs to the low-energy effective action. Consequently, the Lagrangian has a "classical" gauge anomaly, that the Wess-Zumino conditions [7] relate to a "classical" supersymmetry anomaly [8]. This model is thus rather different from the other supergravities, since it is naturally determined by Wess-Zumino conditions, rather than by the usual requirement of local supersymmetry. As a consequence, the supersymmetry algebra contains a two-cocycle, and the resulting Lagrangian is determined up to an arbitrary term proportional to the square of a bilinear in the gauginos [9]. Moreover, the divergence of the energy-momentum tensor is non-vanishing [10], as is properly the case for a theory that has gauge anomalies but no gravitational anomalies, that could be accounted for introducing higher-derivative couplings.

The coupling of $(1,0)$ supergravity to n tensor multiplets was originally studied in [11] to lowest order in the fermi fields, while [12] considered the coupling to a single tensor multiplet and to vector and hyper-multiplets to all orders in the fermi fields. In this case the kinetic term is proportional to $ae^{\phi} + be^{-\phi}$ [2], where a and b are constants and ϕ is the scalar in the tensor multiplet, while [12] actually deals with the particular case $a = 0$, in which the anomaly polynomial vanishes and no tensionless string transition occurs. The general coupling to non-abelian vectors and self-dual tensors was worked out to lowest order in the fermi fields in [2]. In this covariant formulation the requirement of supersymmetry gives non-integrable equations, and the divergence of the vector equation gives the covariant anomaly. The same model was then reconsidered again to lowest order in the fermi fields in [8] in the consistent formulation, requiring the closure of the Wess-Zumino conditions, that relate the consistent gauge anomaly to the supersymmetry anomaly. Additional couplings, as well as the inclusion of hyper-multiplets, were then considered in [13]. The complete coupling to non-abelian vector and tensor multiplets was finally obtained in [9] in the consistent formulation and in [10] in the covariant formulation.

One can actually consider a slight modification of these couplings, resulting from the inclusion in the low-energy Lagrangian of Green-Schwarz terms non-diagonal in a set of $U(1)$ gauge groups. A direct indication of how this can work is provided by a recent paper of Cremmer, Julia, Lü and Pope [14] where, in the search of the highest-dimensional origin of various three-dimensional scalar sigma models, it is shown that the "oxidation endpoint" of three-dimensional supergravity with scalars in F_4 sigma models is $(1,0)$ six-dimensional supergravity coupled to two tensor multiplets and two abelian vector multiplets, with non-diagonal Chern-Simons couplings. The corresponding kinetic terms are also non-diagonal, compatibly with the abelian gauge invariances. The resulting model actually motivates the study of more general Green-Schwarz couplings to *abelian* vectors of the form

$$B^r \; c_r^{ab} \; F^a \; F^b \quad ,$$

where the indices a, b run over the different $U(1)$ gauge groups, while the symmetric matrices c^r may not be simultaneously diagonalized. In this paper we describe this setting

in detail, constructing the general coupling of $(1,0)$ six-dimensional supergravity to n tensor multiplets and *abelian* vector multiplets, and then pointing out the connection with the particular case considered in [14].

The n scalars in the tensor multiplets parameterize the coset space $SO(1,n)/SO(n)$, and are described by the $SO(1,n)$ matrix [11]

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} v_r \\ x_r^m \end{pmatrix} \quad .$$

All spinors are symplectic Majorana-Weyl, the tensorinos χ^m $(m = 1, \ldots, n)$ being right-handed and the gravitino ψ_μ and the gauginos λ being left-handed (we follow the notation of [9]). The tensor fields $B_{\mu\nu}^r$ are valued in the fundamental representation of $SO(1,n)$, and their field strengths include generalized Chern-Simons 3-forms of the vector fields [14] according to

$$H_{\mu\nu\rho}^r = 3\partial_{[\mu} B_{\nu\rho]}^r - 3c^{rab} A_{[\mu}^a \partial_\nu A_{\rho]}^b \quad ,$$

where the c^{rab} are constants that determine the gauge part of the residual anomaly polynomial

$$c_r^{ab} c^{rcd} F^a \wedge F^b \wedge F^c \wedge F^d \quad .$$

In the complete theory, the anomaly induced by this term would cancel against the contribution of fermion loops, while the irreducible part of the anomaly polynomial is directly absent in consistent models [15, 2]. The gauge invariance of H^r requires that

$$\delta B^r = \frac{1}{2} c^{rab} \Lambda^a dA^b \quad .$$

The tensor fields satisfy (anti)self-duality conditions, conveniently summarized as [8, 9]

$$G_{rs} \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{s\mu\nu\rho} = \frac{1}{6e} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\alpha\beta\gamma} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{r\alpha\beta\gamma} \quad ,$$

where $G_{rs} = v_r v_s + x_r^m x_s^m$ and

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mu\nu\rho}^r = \hat{H}_{\mu\nu\rho}^r - \frac{i}{8} v^r (\bar{\chi}^m \gamma_{\mu\nu\rho} \chi^m) + \frac{i}{8} c^{rab} (\bar{\lambda}^a \gamma_{\mu\nu\rho} \lambda^b) \quad ,$$

with $\hat{H}$ the supercovariantization of H. The model can be constructed using the method of [9], where the complete field equations for the case of arbitrary numbers of tensor and *non-abelian* vector multiplets were obtained requiring the closure of Wess-Zumino consistency

conditions. The completion to all orders in the fermi fields of the equations of motion is obtained requiring the closure of the commutator of two supersymmetry transformations on the fermionic field equations. All the resulting equations may be conveniently derived from the Lagrangian

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{-1}\mathcal{L} \quad &= -\frac{1}{4}R + \frac{1}{12}G_{rs}H^{r\mu\nu\rho}H^{s}_{\mu\nu\rho} - \frac{1}{4}\partial_\mu v^r \partial^\mu v_r - \frac{1}{4}v_r c^{rab}F^a_{\mu\nu}F^{b\mu\nu} \\
&-\frac{1}{16e}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}c^{ab}_r B^r_{\mu\nu}F^a_{\alpha\beta}F^b_{\gamma\delta} - \frac{i}{2}\bar\psi_\mu\gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}D_\nu[\frac{1}{2}(\omega+\hat\omega)]\psi_\rho \\
&-\frac{i}{8}v_r[H+\hat H]^{r\mu\nu\rho}(\bar\psi_\mu\gamma_\nu\psi_\rho) + \frac{i}{48}v_r[H+\hat H]^r_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\bar\psi_\mu\gamma^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta\gamma}\psi_\nu) \\
&+\frac{i}{2}\bar\chi^m\gamma^\mu D_\mu(\hat\omega)\chi^m - \frac{i}{24}v_r\hat H^r_{\mu\nu\rho}(\bar\chi^m\gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}\chi^m) \\
&+\frac{1}{4}x^m_r[\partial_\nu v^r + \hat{\partial_\nu v^r}](\bar\psi_\mu\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu\chi^m) - \frac{1}{8}x^m_r[H+\hat H]^{r\mu\nu\rho}(\bar\psi_\mu\gamma_{\nu\rho}\chi^m) \\
&+\frac{1}{24}x^m_r[H+\hat H]^{r\mu\nu\rho}(\bar\psi^\alpha\gamma_{\alpha\mu\nu\rho}\chi^m) + \frac{1}{8}(\bar\chi^m\gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}\chi^m)(\bar\psi_\mu\gamma_\nu\psi_\rho) \\
&-\frac{1}{8}(\bar\chi^m\gamma^\mu\chi^n)(\bar\chi^m\gamma_\mu\chi^n) + \frac{i}{4\sqrt{2}}v_r c^{rab}(F+\hat F)^a_{\nu\rho}(\bar\psi_\mu\gamma^{\nu\rho}\gamma^\mu\lambda^b) \\
&+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}x^m_r c^{rab}(\bar\chi^m\gamma^{\mu\nu}\lambda^a)\hat F^b_{\mu\nu} + \frac{i}{2}v_r c^{rab}(\bar\lambda^a\gamma^\mu\hat D_\mu\lambda^b) \\
&+\frac{i}{24}x^m_r x^m_s\hat H^r_{\mu\nu\rho}c^{sab}(\bar\lambda^a\gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}\lambda^b) + \frac{1}{32}v_r c^{rab}(\bar\lambda^a\gamma_{\mu\nu\rho}\lambda^b)(\bar\chi^m\gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}\chi^m) \\
&-\frac{i}{16}(\bar\chi^m\gamma_{\mu\nu}\psi_\rho)x^m_r c^{rab}(\bar\lambda^a\gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}\lambda^b) - \frac{i}{4}x^m_r c^{rab}(\bar\chi^m\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu\lambda^a)(\bar\psi_\mu\gamma_\nu\lambda^b) \\
&-\frac{1}{16}v_r c^{rab}(\bar\chi^m\lambda^a)(\bar\chi^m\lambda^b) - \frac{3}{32}v_r c^{rab}(\bar\chi^m\gamma_{\mu\nu}\lambda^a)(\bar\chi^m\gamma^{\mu\nu}\lambda^b) \\
&+[(x^m\cdot c)(v\cdot c)^{-1}(x^n\cdot c)]^{ab}\{-\frac{1}{4}(\bar\chi^m\lambda^a)(\bar\chi^n\lambda^b) + \frac{1}{16}(\bar\chi^n\gamma_{\mu\nu}\lambda^a)(\bar\chi^m\gamma^{\mu\nu}\lambda^b) \\
&-\frac{1}{8}(\bar\chi^n\lambda^a)(\bar\chi^m\lambda^b)\} + \frac{1}{8}v_r c^{rab}(\bar\psi_\mu\gamma_\nu\psi_\rho)(\bar\lambda^a\gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}\lambda^b) \\
&-\frac{1}{8}v_r v_s c^{rab}c^{scd}(\bar\lambda^a\gamma_\mu\lambda^c)(\bar\lambda^b\gamma^\mu\lambda^d) + \frac{\alpha}{8}c^{rab}c^{cd}_r(\bar\lambda^a\gamma_\mu\lambda^c)(\bar\lambda^b\gamma^\mu\lambda^d) \quad ,
\end{aligned}
$$

after imposing the (anti)self duality conditions. The last term, proportional to the arbitrary parameter α, vanishes identically in the case of a single abelian vector multiplet. Since the kinetic terms of the vector fields are non-diagonal, this generalization is only possible in the abelian case.

The variation of this Lagrangian with respect to gauge transformations gives the gauge anomaly

$$
\mathcal{A}_\Lambda = -\frac{1}{32}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}c^{ab}_r c^{rcd}\Lambda^a F^b_{\mu\nu}F^c_{\alpha\beta}F^d_{\gamma\delta} \quad ,
$$

while the variation with respect to the supersymmetry transformations

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\delta e_\mu{}^\alpha = -i(\bar\epsilon\gamma^\alpha\psi_\mu) \quad , \\
&\delta B^r_{\mu\nu} = iv^r(\bar\psi_{[\mu}\gamma_{\nu]}\epsilon) + \frac{1}{2}x^{mr}(\bar\chi^m\gamma_{\mu\nu}\epsilon) - c^{rab}(A^a_{[\mu}\delta A^b_{\nu]}) \quad , \\
&\delta v_r = x^m_r(\bar\chi^m\epsilon) \quad , \\
&\delta A^a_\mu = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\bar\epsilon\gamma_\mu\lambda^a) \quad , \\
&\delta\psi_\mu = \hat D_\mu\epsilon + \frac{1}{4}v_r\hat H^r_{\mu\nu\rho}\gamma^{\nu\rho}\epsilon - \frac{3i}{8}\gamma_\mu\chi^m(\bar\epsilon\chi^m) - \frac{i}{8}\gamma^\nu\chi^m(\bar\epsilon\gamma_{\mu\nu}\chi^m) + \frac{i}{16}\gamma_{\mu\nu\rho}\chi^m(\bar\epsilon\gamma^{\nu\rho}\chi^m) \\
&\qquad -\frac{9i}{16}v_r c^{rab}\lambda^a(\bar\epsilon\gamma_\mu\lambda^b) + \frac{i}{16}v_r c^{rab}\gamma_{\mu\nu}\lambda^a(\bar\epsilon\gamma^\nu\lambda^b) - \frac{i}{32}v_r c^{rab}\gamma^{\nu\rho}\lambda^a(\bar\epsilon\gamma_{\mu\nu\rho}\lambda^b) \quad , \\
&\delta\chi^m = \frac{i}{2}x^m_r(\partial_\mu\hat{v}^r)\gamma^\mu\epsilon + \frac{i}{12}x^m_r\hat H^r_{\mu\nu\rho}\gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}\epsilon + \frac{1}{4}x^m_r c^{rab}\gamma_\mu\lambda^a(\bar\epsilon\gamma^\mu\lambda^b) \quad , \\
&\delta\lambda^a = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\hat F^a_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{\mu\nu}\epsilon + [(v\cdot c)^{-1}(x^m\cdot c)]^{ab}\{-\frac{1}{2}(\bar\chi^m\lambda^b)\epsilon - \frac{1}{4}(\bar\chi^m\epsilon)\lambda^b \\
&\qquad +\frac{1}{8}(\bar\chi^m\gamma_{\mu\nu}\epsilon)\gamma^{\mu\nu}\lambda^b\}
\end{aligned}
$$

gives the supersymmetry anomaly

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_\epsilon \quad &= c^{ab}_r c^{rcd}\{-\frac{1}{16}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\delta_\epsilon A^a_\mu A^b_\nu F^c_{\alpha\beta}F^d_{\gamma\delta} - \frac{1}{8}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\delta_\epsilon A^a_\mu F^b_{\nu\alpha}A^c_\beta F^d_{\gamma\delta} \\
&+\frac{ie}{8}\delta_\epsilon A^a_\mu F^b_{\nu\rho}(\bar\lambda^c\gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}\lambda^d) + \frac{ie}{8}\delta_\epsilon A^a_\mu(\bar\lambda^b\gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}\lambda^c)F^d_{\nu\rho} + \frac{ie}{4}\delta_\epsilon A^a_\mu(\bar\lambda^b\gamma_\nu\lambda^c)F^{d\mu\nu} \\
&-\frac{ie}{128}(\bar\epsilon\gamma^\alpha\psi_\mu)(\bar\lambda^a\gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}\lambda^b)(\bar\lambda^c\gamma_{\alpha\nu\rho}\lambda^d) - \frac{e}{8\sqrt{2}}\delta_\epsilon A^a_\mu(\bar\lambda^b\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu\gamma^\rho\lambda^c)(\bar\lambda^d\gamma_\nu\psi_\rho)\} \\
&+c^{ab}_r[c^r(v\cdot c)^{-1}(x^m\cdot c)]^{cd}\{-\frac{i}{4\sqrt{2}}\delta_\epsilon A^a_\mu(\bar\lambda^b\gamma^\mu\lambda^c)(\bar\lambda^d\chi^m) \\
&+\frac{i}{16\sqrt{2}}\delta_\epsilon A^a_\mu(\bar\lambda^b\gamma^\mu\gamma^{\nu\rho}\lambda^d)(\bar\chi^m\gamma_{\nu\rho}\lambda^c) - \frac{i}{8\sqrt{2}}\delta_\epsilon A^a_\mu(\bar\lambda^b\gamma^\mu\lambda^d)(\bar\chi^m\lambda^c)\} \\
&+\frac{\alpha}{8}c^{ab}_r c^{rcd}\delta_\epsilon\{e(\bar\lambda^a\gamma_\mu\lambda^c)(\bar\lambda^b\gamma^\mu\lambda^d)\} \quad .
\end{aligned}
$$

Summarizing, the complete theory would contain additional non-local couplings induced by fermion loops, whose variation would cancel the anomalous contribution of the contact terms. Thus, the low-energy couplings that we are displaying are properly neither gauge-invariant nor supersymmetric. However, gauge and supersymmetry anomalies are related by Wess-Zumino consistency conditions, and this grants the coherence of the construction. The presence of the arbitrary parameter α reflects the freedom of adding to the anomaly the variation of a local functional, consistently with all Wess-Zumino conditions. This anomalous behavior of the low-energy Lagrangian is related to another remarkable

property of these models [9]: aside from local symmetry transformations and the equation of motion, the commutator of two supersymmetry transformations on the gauginos generates the two-cocycle

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{(\alpha)}\lambda^a \quad &= [(v\cdot c)^{-1}c_r]^{ab}c^{rcd}[-\frac{1}{8}(\bar\epsilon_1\gamma_\mu\lambda^c)(\bar\epsilon_2\gamma_\nu\lambda^d)\gamma^{\mu\nu}\lambda^b - \frac{\alpha}{4}(\bar\lambda^b\gamma_\mu\lambda^c)(\bar\epsilon_1\gamma_\nu\lambda^d)\gamma^{\mu\nu}\epsilon_2 \\ &+\frac{\alpha}{32}(\bar\lambda^b\gamma_{\mu\nu\rho}\lambda^c)(\bar\epsilon_1\gamma^\rho\lambda^d)\gamma^{\mu\nu}\epsilon_2 + \frac{\alpha}{32}(\bar\lambda^b\gamma_\rho\lambda^c)(\bar\epsilon_1\gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}\lambda^d)\gamma_{\mu\nu}\epsilon_2 \\ &+\frac{1-\alpha}{8}(\bar\lambda^b\gamma_\mu\lambda^c)(\bar\epsilon_1\gamma^\mu\lambda^d)\epsilon_2 - (1\leftrightarrow 2) + \frac{1-\alpha}{32}(\bar\epsilon_1\gamma^\mu\epsilon_2)(\bar\lambda^c\gamma_{\mu\nu\rho}\lambda^d)\gamma^{\nu\rho}\lambda^b] \quad ,\end{aligned}$$

different from zero for any value of α. In six dimensions the Wess-Zumino conditions close only on the field equations of the gauginos, and this two-cocycle actually makes these conditions close for any value of α. In the case of a single vector multiplet, in which the term of the Lagrangian proportional to λ^4 disappears, the two-cocycle is still present, although it is properly independent of α.

Although the properties of (anti)self-dual tensors imply that the H^2 term in the Lagrangian vanishes identically, the field equations obtained varying $\mathcal{L}$ are the ones that result from the supersymmetry algebra when the (anti)self duality conditions are imposed. It is possible to apply the construction of Pasti, Sorokin and Tonin (PST) [16] in this case, following the results of [17], where this construction was applied to the case of (anti)self-dual tensors coupled to non-abelian vector multiplets, thus generalizing the results of [18], where only tensor multiplets were considered. Since the theory describes a single self-dual 2-form

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mu\nu\rho} = v_r\hat{H}^r_{\mu\nu\rho} - \frac{i}{8}(\bar\chi^m\gamma_{\mu\nu\rho}\chi^m)$$

and n antiself-dual 2-forms

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}^m_{\mu\nu\rho} = x^m_r\hat{H}^r_{\mu\nu\rho} + \frac{i}{8}x^m_r c^{rab}(\bar\lambda^a\gamma_{\mu\nu\rho}\lambda^b) \quad ,$$

the complete Lagrangian is obtained by the addition of the term

$$-\frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial^\mu\phi\partial^\sigma\phi}{(\partial\phi)^2}[\hat{\mathcal{H}}^-_{\mu\nu\rho}\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{-\nu\rho}_\sigma + \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{m+}_{\mu\nu\rho}\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{m+}{}_\sigma{}^{\nu\rho}] \quad ,$$

where ϕ is an auxiliary scalar field [16, 18] and $H^\pm = H \pm *H$. This lagrangian has PST gauge invariances needed to cancel the additional degrees of freedom [16]. Once the

transformations of the gravitino and of the tensorinos are properly modified, the supersymmetry algebra generates also the PST gauge transformations [18]. The field equations obtained from the complete Lagrangian reduce to those obtained from the Lagrangian without the PST term, once these gauge invariances are fixed [16]. Alternative ways of obtaining a lagrangian formulation for self-dual tensors can be found in the literature. While in general these involve infinite auxiliary fields [19], Kavalov and Mkrtchyan [20] had obtained long ago a complete action for pure d=6 (1,0) supergravity in terms of a single tensor auxiliary field. Their work may be connected to the result of [18] via an ansatz relating their tensor to the PST scalar.

As supersymmetry does not constrain the values of the coefficients c^r, we have obtained a class of models whose anomaly polynomials can contain odd powers of the individual field strengths F^a. It is interesting to compare these results with [14]. Although for generic values of the c's the $SO(1,n)$ global symmetry is broken, the authors of [14] consider the amusing case of $n = 2$ with two abelian vector multiplets transforming in the spinorial representation of $SO(1,2)$. Identifying this group with the one that transforms the tensor fields, one obtains an $SO(1,2)$-invariant Lagrangian if $c^r = \gamma^0\gamma^r$. In particular the results of [14] correspond to the Majorana representation of $SO(1,2)$:

$$\gamma^0 = \sigma_2 \quad , \qquad \gamma^1 = i\sigma_1 \quad , \qquad \gamma^2 = i\sigma_3 \quad ,$$

and for this choice the anomaly polynomial vanishes identically.

The transition to tensionless strings corresponds to values of the scalar fields for which the gauge coupling vanishes [4]. In our Lagrangian, this would correspond to the vanishing of some eigenvalues of the matrix $v_r c^{rab}$. In the case of [14] the moduli space is a two-dimensional hyperboloid, described by the equation $v_0^2 - v_1^2 - v_2^2 = 1$, and one can show that the eigenvalues of the matrix $v_r c^{rab}$ are both positive for $v_0 \geq 1$ and both negative for $v_0 \leq -1$, so that the transition is not reached.

It is a pleasure to thank D. Anselmi and in particular A. Sagnotti for useful discussions.

References

[1] M. Bianchi and A. Sagnotti, *Phys. Lett.* **B247** (1990) 517; *Nucl. Phys.* **B361** (1991) 519;

A. Dabholkar and J. Park, *Nucl. Phys.* **B472** (1996) 207; *Nucl. Phys.* **B477** (1996) 701;

E. Gimon and C.V. Johnson, *Nucl. Phys.* **B477** (1996) 715;

J. Blum and A. Zaffaroni, *Phys. Lett.* **B387** (1996) 71;

J. Blum, *Nucl. Phys.* **B486** (1997) 34;

A. Sen, *Nucl. Phys.* **B475** (1996) 562, *Phys. Rev.* **D55** (1997) 7345, hep-th/9709159;

J. Blum and K. Intriligator, *Nucl. Phys.* **B506** (1997) 223; *Nucl. Phys.* **B506** (1997) 199;

J. Blum and K.R. Dienes, *Phys. Lett.* **B414** (1997) 260; *Nucl. Phys.* **B516** (1998) 83;

K. Dasgupta and S. Mukhi, *Phys. Lett.* **B385** (1996) 125;

C. Angelantonj, M. Bianchi, G. Pradisi, A. Sagnotti and Ya.S. Stanev, *Phys. Lett.* **B387** (1996) 743;

Z. Kakushadze, G. Shiu and S.-H.H. Tye, *Nucl. Phys.* **B533** (1998) 25;

I. Antoniadis, E. Dudas and A. Sagnotti, hep-th/9908023;

C. Angelantonj, hep-th/9908064;

G. Aldazabal and A.M. Uranga, hep-th/9908072;

R. Blumenhagen, L. Goerlich and B. Kors, hep-th/9908130;

For a review, see: L.E. Ibañez and A.M. Uranga, hep-th/9707075.

[2] A. Sagnotti, *Phys. Lett.* **294B** (1992) 196.

[3] M.J. Duff, R. Minasian and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B475** (1996) 413.

[4] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B471** (1996) 121;

M.J. Duff, H. Lü and C. Pope, *Phys. Lett.* **B378** (1996) 101.

[5] A. Sagnotti, *in* Cargese '87, "Non-Perturbative Quantum Field Theory", eds. G.Mack et al (Pergamon Press, 1988), p. 521.

[6] M.B. Green and J.H. Schwarz, *Phys. Lett.* **149B** (1984) 117.

[7] J. Wess and B. Zumino, *Phys. Lett.* **B37** (1971) 95.

[8] S. Ferrara, R. Minasian and A. Sagnotti, *Nucl. Phys.* **B474** (1996) 323.

[9] S. Ferrara, F. Riccioni and A. Sagnotti, *Nucl. Phys.* **B519** (1998) 115;
F. Riccioni and A. Sagnotti, hep-th/9711077.

[10] F. Riccioni and A. Sagnotti, *Phys. Lett.* **B436** (1998) 298.

[11] L.J. Romans, *Nucl. Phys.* **B276** (1986) 71.

[12] H. Nishino and E. Sezgin, *Nucl. Phys.* **B278** (1986) 353.

[13] H. Nishino and E. Sezgin, *Nucl. Phys.* **B505** (1997) 497.

[14] E. Cremmer, B. Julia, H. Lü and C.N. Pope, hep-th/9909099.

[15] S. Randjbar-Daemi, A. Salam, E. Sezgin and J. Strathdee, *Phys. Lett.* **B151** (1985) 351.

[16] P. Pasti, D. Sorokin and M. Tonin, *Phys. Rev.* **D55** (1997) 6292.

[17] F. Riccioni and A. Sagnotti, hep-th/9812042.

[18] G. Dall'Agata, K. Lechner and M. Tonin, *Nucl. Phys.* **B512** (1998) 179.

[19] W. Siegel, *Nucl. Phys.* **B238** (1984) 307;
B. McClain, Y.S. Wu and F. Yu, *Nucl. Phys.* **B343** (1990) 689;
C. Wotzasek, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66** (1991) 129;
I. Martin and A. Restuccia, *Phys. Lett.* **B323** (1994) 311;
F.P. Devecchi and M. Henneaux, *Phys. Rev.* **D45** (1996) 1606.

[20] An.R. Kavalov and R.L. Mkrtchyan, *Nucl. Phys.* **B331** (1990) 391.